



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Richard T. Heagy

Serial No.: 09/877,219 Filed: June 8, 2001

For: Method and Apparatus for Lining

a Conduit

Attention: Office of Petitions

Mail Stop Petitions

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

§ Examiner: Michelle A. Lazor

§ Group Art Unit: 1734

§ Atty Dkt No.: SAFE.5

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.8a)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313.

3/1/a4/2006

Date: SIGNATURÉ

RENEWED PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 § C.F.R. 1.137(a)

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice of action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus any extension of time actually obtained.

APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION.

- 1. Petition fee for small entity is \$55 and has been previously paid.
- 2. The Notice of Abandonment states that an Office letter was mailed 24 March 2004 and the letter accompanied the dismissal of Applicant's original Petition to Revive. A complete response to that letter is included with this Renewed Petition.
- 3. Since this application was filed on or after June 9, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.

- 4. An adequate showing of the cause of the delay, and that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.137(a) was unavoidable was included with the original petition and is repeated:
 - a. The subject application was filed in June of 2001. In March of 2003, the undersigned was retained by the inventor and assignee to assume responsibility for the application.
 - b. On April 23, 2003, a Change of Attorney or Agent's Address in Application was filed, attached hereto as Exhibit A.
 - c. On July 18, 2003, a Status Inquiry, attached hereto as Exhibit B, was filed.No reply was ever received in response to this Status Inquiry.
 - d. On April 20, 2004, another status inquiry and Change address were filed, attached hereto as Exhibit C. No reply was ever received in response to this Status Inquiry.
 - e. On November 16, 2004, a Notice of Abandonment issued in this case and the Notice was properly addressed to the undersigned, indicating that the Change of Address of Exhibit C was indeed received and entered by the PTO.
 - f. The Notice of Abandonment indicated that an Office letter was mailed on 24 March 2004. At that time, the undersigned maintained the docket and made all entries for which deadlines were due. The docket sheet for this case is attached as Exhibit D, and shows that no entry was ever made for a restriction requirement or office action, as such would have been made if received.

Good cause for the delay having been shown to be unavoidable, the Applicant respectfully requests that the application be revived, that the Office letter be provided to the undersigned, and that the time for response be reset.

Respectfully submitted,

Tim Cook

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 32,561

Law Office of Tim Cook P.C. P.O. Box 10107 Liberty, Texas 77575 (936) 336-8200 (936) 336-8203 (fax) timcook@libertypatent.com